United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/087,816	03/05/2002	Masamichi Akashi	03500.016251	3000
5514 7590 06/21/2007 FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA			EXAMINER	
			HUNTSINGER, PETER K	
NEW YORK, NY 10112			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2625	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/21/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/087,816	AKASHI, MASAMICHI			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Peter K. Huntsinger	2625			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 Ar</u>	<u>oril 2007</u> .				
′=	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1955 C.D. 11, 45	03 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8,10,18,20,22 and 38-46</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
·	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
·	Claim(s) <u>8,10,18,20,22 and 38-46</u> is/are rejected	ea.				
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement				
•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	olookon roquilomonik				
Applicati	ion Papers					
•	The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
' '/	The ball of declaration is objected to by the Ex	ammer. Note the attached Office	Action of form F 10-132.			
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmen 1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Infon		4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) ☐ Notice of Informal P 6) ☐ Other:	(PTO-413) ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/087,816 Page 2

Art Unit: 2625

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 4/4/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues on pages 14 and 15 of the response in essence that:

Fan '706 does not disclose a means for correlating, after receiving the request from the computer, the relevant computer and the port number with each other.

- a. Fan '706 discloses a correlating unit that correlates, after the reception by said reception unit, discrimination information of the computer that transmitted the request (IP source address) and the port number (destination port) notified by said port number notifying unit with each other (col. 8, lines 32-59, IP source address and destination port compared against an ACL). The packet fields (IP source address and destination port) of a packet may be compared against an ACL (access control lists which designate acceptable packets) and will be permitted if present in an ACL or dropped if not present.
- 2. Applicant's arguments regarding notifying the computer of a port number corresponding to a kind of data process included in the request have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Art Unit: 2625

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 8, 18, 22, 38, 39, 41, 42, 44, and 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fan '706 and Kayashima '366.

Referring to claim 8, Fan '706 discloses a data processing apparatus (network device 301 of Fig. 3, col. 6, lines 26-44) which communicates with a computer via a network, said data processing apparatus, comprising: a reception unit that receives a request transmitted from the computer via the network, wherein the request includes a kind of data process to be executed (col. 3, lines 9-16, receiving a packet and identifying an application associated with the packet); a port number notifying unit that notifies a port number corresponding to a kind of data process included in the request received by said reception unit (col. 7-8, lines 61-67, 1-10, firewall determines which additional channels should be dynamically opened); a correlating unit that correlates. after the reception by said reception unit, discrimination information of the computer that transmitted the request (IP source address) and the port number (destination port) notified by said port number notifying unit with each other (col. 8, lines 32-59, IP source address and destination port compared against an ACL); a data receiving unit that receives data addressed to the port number, from an external apparatus (col. 7, lines 41-51, allow packet transmission); and a control unit that discriminates whether or not the port number (destination port) and discrimination information of the external

1111110005

Art Unit: 2625

apparatus (IP source address) have been correlated with each other by said correlating unit, controls to execute a process based on the data received by said data receiving unit in a case where it is discriminated that the port number and the discrimination information of the external apparatus have been correlated with each other (col. 10, lines 1-9, processes the packet), and controls not to execute the process based on the data received by said data receiving unit in a case where it is discriminated that the port number and the discrimination information of the external apparatus have not been correlated with each other (step 408 of Fig. 7, col. 9, lines 32-39, packet is dropped) (col. 8, lines 32-59).

Fan '706 discloses port number negation, does not disclose expressly notifying the computer of a port number. Kayashima '366 disclosés a port number notifying unit that notifies a computer of a port number (col. 10, lines 44-46, server notifies the port number to the client computer). At the time of the invention, it would have obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to notifying a computer of a port number. The motivation for doing so would have been to inform the computer sending information a port number in which to utilize for communication. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Kayashima '366 with Fan '706 to obtain the invention as specified in claim 8.

Referring to claim 18, see the rejection of claim 8 above.

Referring to claim 22, see the rejection of claim 8 above.

Referring to claim 38, Fan '706 discloses a discriminating unit that discriminates whether or not to permit communication with the computer (col. 8, lines 32-59, IP source

Art Unit: 2625

address and destination port compared against an ACL), wherein said port number notifying unit notifies the port number in a case where said discriminating unit discriminates to permit the communication with the computer (col. 7-8, lines 61-67, 1-10, firewall determines which additional channels should be dynamically opened). Kayashima '366 discloses a port number notifying unit that notifies a computer of a port number (col. 10, lines 44-46, server notifies the port number to the client computer).

Referring to claim 39, Fan '706 discloses wherein the discrimination information of the computer and the discrimination information of the external apparatus are respectively an IP address of the computer and an IP address of the external apparatus (col. 8, lines 32-59, IP source address and IP destination address).

Referring to claim 41, see the rejection of claim 38 above.

Referring to claim 42, see the rejection of claim 39 above.

Referring to claim 44, see the rejection of claim 38 above.

Referring to claim 45, see the rejection of claim 39 above.

5. Claims 10 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fan '706 and Kayashima '366 as applied to claims 8 and 18 above, and in further view of Yonenaga '872.

Referring to claim 10, Fan '706 discloses a data processing apparatus, but do not disclose expressly the data processing apparatus is a printer. Yonenaga '872 discloses a computer that includes a printer (col. 1, lines 56-67). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to include a printer

Application/Control Number: 10/087,816

Art Unit: 2625

within a computer. The motivation for doing so would have been to increase the portability of a computer-printer system. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Yonenaga '872 with Fan '706 and Kayashima '366 to obtain the invention as specified in claim 10.

Referring to claim 20, see the rejection of claim 10 above.

6. Claims 40, 43, and 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fan '706 and Kayashima '366 as applied to claims 8, 18, and 22 above, and in further view of well known prior art.

Referring to claim 40, Fan '706 discloses said port number notifying unit notifying of a plurality of different port numbers but does not disclose expressly notifying a port number corresponding to a print process or a port number corresponding to a managing process. Official Notice is taken that it is well known and obvious in the art for a port number to correspond to a printing process and for a port number to correspond to a managing process. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious for the system of Fan '706 to notifying the computer (as taught by Kayashima '366) a printing port number or a managing port number. The motivation for doing so would have been to designate certain port number to certain applications. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine well known prior art with Fan '706 and Kayashima '366 to obtain the invention as specified in claim 40.

Referring to claim 43, see the rejection of claim 40 above.

Referring to claim 46, see the rejection of claim 40 above.

Application/Control Number: 10/087,816 Page 7

Art Unit: 2625

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter K. Huntsinger whose telephone number is (571)272-7435. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Moe Aung can be reached on (571)272-7314. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2625

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

PKH W 4 1

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER